

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/061,318 04/16/98 **BERGMAN** Ε 244/277

IM62/0229

LYON & LYON LLP 633 W FIFTH ST., SUITE 4700 LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2066

EXAMINER STINSON, F PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 1746

DATE MAILED:

02/29/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/061,318

Applicant(s)

BERGMAN ET AL.

Examiner

FRANKIE L. STINSON

Group Art Unit 1746

This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire ONE month(s), or thirty da is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. \$ 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the prost OFF of the above, claim(s) U.S.C. \$ 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the prost OFF of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from Is/are objected. Is/are objected Is/are objected Is/are pending in the OFF of the above, claim(s) Is/are allowed. Claim(s) Is/are withdrawn from Is/are object to restriction or election Application Papers Is es eth eattached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on Is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on Is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on Is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. Preceived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) Preceived in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119(e). Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on
in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire		☐ This action is FINAL .
is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the pro 37 CFR 1.136(a). Disposition of Claims \[\times Claim(s) \frac{24-32, 35-42, and 45-86}		
Claim(s) 24-32, 35-42, and 45-86 is/are pending in the Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from Claim(s) is/are allowed. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected objected is/are objected is/are objected is/are objected objected is/are objected objected objected objected objected objected objected objected objected objected	mmunication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the	is longer, from the mailing date of this communapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C.
Of the above, claim(s)		Disposition of Claims
□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. □ Claim(s) is/are objected. ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected. ☑ Claims 24-32, 35-42, and 45-86 are subject to restriction or election Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. □ The drawing(s) filled on	is/are pending in the application.	X Claim(s) 24-32, 35-42, and 45-86
□ Claim(s) is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) is/are objected □ Claims 24-32, 35-42, and 45-86 are subject to restriction or election Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. □ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	Of the above, claim(s)
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	☐ Claim(s)
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on	is/are rejected.	☐ Claim(s)
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on isapproveddisapproved. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	is/are objected to.	Claim(s)
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement.	
 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 	is/are objected to by the Examiner. iled on isapproveddisapproved. the Examiner. to by the Examiner. im for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). ie CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been Series Code/Serial Number) e application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson' ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CEF ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series ☐ received in this national stage apples *Certified copies not received:
), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	 □ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing

Application/Control Number: 09/061,318

Art Unit: 1746

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the A. claimed invention: (a) the species of fig. 1; (b) the species of fig. 2; © the species of fig. 4; (e) the species of fig 5 and (f) the species of fig. 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 24 for example, is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/061,318 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

B. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

C. A telephone call was made to the office of Lyon and Lyon on Feb. 25, 2000 to request an

oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

D. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Frankie L. Stinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (1st week) from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm and T-F (2nd

week) from 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

(703) 308-7719.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

FRANKIE L. STINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3400

Prankie L. Stinson